



Meeting Summary

Bond Oversight Commission

January 12, 2015
Ward 6 Council Office
3202 E. 1st St.
Tucson, AZ 85716

The Bond Oversight Commission (BOC) meeting summaries provide a brief descriptive overview of the discussions, decisions and actions taken at the meetings. The summary comprises the official minutes of the Bond Oversight Commission until they are voted upon at the next meeting. Meeting summaries are available at the City Clerk's web page at:

<http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/clerks/boards?board=114>

MEETING RESULTS

1. Call to Order and Roll Call

The facilitator, Janet Garcia, called the meeting to order at 5:35 and quorum was established.

Bond Oversight Commission Members

Present		Absent
Steve Pageau	Jesse Lugo	Melvin Cohen
Lorraine Morales	Daniel Castro	
Ian Johnson	Ramon Gaanderse	
Ricky Hernandez	Steve Taylor	
Dale Calvert	Bruce Burke	

2. Introduction of 2012 Bond Oversight Commission

The BOC and project team introduced themselves.

3. Review and Approval of Meeting Summary from October 23, 2014

The BOC reviewed and approved the Meeting Summary from October 23, 2014.

4. Presentation from the Pima Association of Governments: Jim DeGrood, Deputy Director.

Due to scheduling conflicts, Mr. DeGrood will present at a subsequent meeting.

APPROVED FEBRUARY 23, 2015

5. Bond Road Restoration Projects: TDOT and Procurement Department Presentations

a. ***Bond Financial Report***

David Atler, Project and Program Manager for Proposition 409, provided the BOC with a financial, contract, and program update.

BOC Discussion

- Steve Pageau: Is \$19M the total for the 18 months of FY14-15? How does this compare to the work we anticipated?
 - David Atler: We are on target with the projections. This is why we have accelerated projects. We would like to have \$40M of completed projects for the two fiscal years.
 - Daryl Cole: We are ahead on the projects we presented to the voters but behind on the expenditures.
- b. ***Provide an update on extending project limits on voter-approved roadways to complete the segment that would otherwise be unimproved, based on anticipated funding surplus.***

Given the favorable bid environment, the Roadway Recovery Program will have a funding surplus. TDOT provided the BOC with additional roadways that could be improved with the funding surplus. Mr. Atler provided the BOC with a list of streets and an accompanying map to show TDOT's recommendation of which streets to add to the Roadway Recovery Program. The streets were selected based on pavement condition, functional classification, MS&R classification, bus routes, bike boulevards, etc. The pavement condition was assigned the highest value to determine the list of streets. Included in the list is \$40M in additional roadway improvements and a \$10M group of alternate streets to ensure program flexibility. The surplus will be strictly allocated from curb to curb.

BOC Discussion

- Dale Calvert: I assume that the bond counsel and the city attorney's office has approved adding additional streets.
 - Daryl Cole: That is correct. We explained to our bond counsel that we have satisfied the voter requirement. In bond language, it is a change. Mayor and Council will need to provide insight and comments to TDOT's recommendation. Next, the Mayor and Council will provide a recommendation to the BOC. The BOC will then review what Mayor and Council recommended and provide input. Mayor and Council will then hold a public hearing and a formal decision will be made.
- Ian Johnson: Does the 85/15 ratio of arterials to residential roadways need to stay the same?
 - Daryl Cole: I did not ask that specific question. From a TDOT staff perspective, the arterial system still carries the most traffic and is in the most need.

- Steve Pageau: From my position, I would argue that the residential roadways need to be improved. If constituents know that there is a \$40M surplus, they might want to see the residential roadways improved.
- Steve Pageau: We cannot evaluate the where the funding will be allocated to until we discuss specifics with the bond counsel. Constituents will ask if we do not include residential roadways. We need clarifications on the legality. I think we should stick with the same proportions.
- Bruce Burke: I move that we consider the allocation of the surplus with the bond counsel.

The BOC moved to seek counsel regarding allocation of surplus funds to residential and arterial roadways.

- Steve Pageau: I would like to prioritize the recommendation.
 - Daryl Cole: We did take some constituent feedback into consideration.
- Ian Johnson: I had a chance to talk with Ward 3. They are happy to see Campbell on the list of considerations. Church Avenue and the airport really need pavement improvements.
- Bruce Burke: Are there other rationales that might make as much sense to allocate the money? Are there other options aside from the 85/15?
- Dale Calvert: I am comfortable with the 85/15; however, I agree with the staff recommendation regarding improving the arterials. Arterials are where people are traveling at the highest speeds and doing the most damage to their cars.
- Jesse Lugo: I think we need a financial update on what it would cost to improve the neighborhood streets.
- Ricky Hernandez: I'm looking at it in terms of lane miles. How does the amount of money translate to lane miles?
- Daniel Castro: The surplus is all from arterial streets. I would like for arterials to be improved.

c. Update on recent citizen communications and emails received by TDOT concerning Bond Roadway or residential street improvements.

Michael Graham, PIO, explained the recent communication with the BOC. He provided them with a citizen comment and request log. The Richland Heights East Neighborhood Association has been very vocal regarding the improvements in their neighborhood and the City's seal coat program. Given their comments, TDOT was able to apply lessons learned. TDOT has received minimal complaints from the Sam Hughes Neighborhood Association and no complaints from the Cottonwood Manner Neighborhood Association. Additionally, Mr. Graham explained to the BOC the process of notifying the neighborhoods of the work being done in their area.

6. Call to the Audience

Katie Bolger, Ward 2 staff, addressed the BOC. She explained that the biggest call in the Ward 2 Office is in regards to the pavement condition on Broadway Blvd. and Camino Seco. She requested that Camino Seco is included on the future improvements list.

7. Future Meeting and Agenda items

The next weekend will be held at the Ward 6 Office on February 23, 2015 at 5:30 pm.

8. Adjournment

6:35 pm